

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CASE NO.:

RAOUL MARRADI,

Plaintiff,

vs.

EAST COAST EATS, INC.,
and 101BOS LLC,

Defendants.

/

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF SOUGHT

C O M P L A I N T

Plaintiff, RAOUL MARRADI, by and through the undersigned counsel, hereby sues EAST COAST EATS, INC., and 101BOS LLC (hereinafter, collectively “Defendants”), for injunctive relief pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181, *et seq.* (hereinafter, the “ADA”) and the ADA’s Accessibility Guidelines, 28 C.F.R. Part 36 (hereinafter, the “ADAAG”), and alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. This Court has original jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1333 for Plaintiff’s claims arising under 42 U.S.C. § 12181, *et seq.*, based upon Defendants’ failure to remove physical barriers to access and violations of Title III of the ADA (see also, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202).

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff is a resident of and resides within this judicial district, is *sui juris*, and is disabled as defined by the ADA and substantially limited in performing one or more major life activities, including but not limited to walking, standing, grabbing, grasping and pinching. Plaintiff uses a wheelchair for mobility purposes. Plaintiff’s access to the Facility and/or full and equal enjoyment of

the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein was restricted and/or limited because of these disabilities, and will be restricted and/or limited in the future unless and until Defendants are compelled to remove the physical barriers to access and ADA violations which exist at the Facility, including those set forth in this Complaint.

3. Completely independent of Plaintiff's personal desire to have access to places of public accommodation free of illegal barriers to access, Plaintiff also acts as a "tester" on behalf of Plaintiff, and other persons with disabilities, for the purpose of discovering, encountering, and engaging discrimination against the disabled in public accommodations. When acting as a "tester," Plaintiff employs a routine practice. Plaintiff personally visits the public accommodation where Plaintiff knows or where it has been reported that illegal barriers to access exist; engages barriers to access that Plaintiff is able to access or has barriers reported, documented and/or photographed; and tests barriers to access to determine whether and the extent to which there are illegal barriers to access; proceeds with legal action to enjoin such discrimination; and subsequently returns to the premises to verify its compliance or non-compliance with the ADA and to otherwise use the public accommodation as members of the able-bodied community are able to do. Independent of other subsequent visits for personal reasons, Plaintiff also intends to revisit the premises to verify its compliance or non-compliance with the ADA, and its maintenance of the accessible features of the premises.

4. In this instance, Plaintiff, individually and for personal reasons, and also as a "tester," visited the Facility, encountered barriers to access at the Facility, and engaged and tested those barriers, suffered legal harm and legal injury and will continue to suffer such harm and injury as a result of the illegal barriers to access and Defendants' ADA violations set forth herein.

5. Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer direct and indirect injury as a result of Defendants' actions or inaction described herein.

6. Defendants transact business in this judicial district. Defendants are the owners, lessees, lessors and/or operators of the real property and improvements which are the subject of this action, commonly referred to as Silvertone Bar & Grill, located at or about 69 Bromfield Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02108 (hereinafter, the "Facility").

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS AND CLAIM

7. On July 26, 1990, Congress enacted the Americans with Disabilities Act (hereinafter, the ADA), 42 U.S.C. § 12101, *et seq.*

8. Congress found, among other things, that:

- (i) some 43,000,000 Americans have one or more physical or mental disabilities, and this number is increasing as the population as a whole is growing older;
- (ii) historically, society has tended to isolate and segregate individuals with disabilities, and, despite some improvements, such forms of discrimination against individuals with disabilities continue to be a serious and pervasive social problem;
- (iii) discrimination against individuals with disabilities persists in such critical areas as employment, housing public accommodations, education, transportation, communication, recreation, institutionalization, health services, voting, and access to public services;
- (iv) individuals with disabilities continually encounter various forms of discrimination, including outright intentional exclusion, the discriminatory effects of architectural, transportation, and communication barriers, overprotective rules and policies, failure to make modifications to existing facilities and practices, exclusionary qualification standards and criteria, segregation, and relegation to lesser service, programs, activities, benefits, jobs, or other opportunities; and,
- (v) the continuing existence of unfair and unnecessary discrimination and prejudice denies people with disabilities the opportunity to compete on an equal basis and to pursue those opportunities for which our free society is justifiably famous and costs the United States billions of dollars in unnecessary expenses resulting from dependency and nonproductivity.

42 U.S.C. § 12101(a)(1) - (3), (5) and (9).

9. Congress explicitly stated that the purpose of the ADA was to:

- (i) provide a clear and comprehensive national mandate for the elimination of discrimination against individuals with disabilities;
- (ii) provide a clear, strong, consistent, enforceable standards addressing discrimination against individuals with disabilities; and,
- (iii) invoke the sweep of congressional authority, including the power to enforce the fourteenth amendment and to regulate commerce, in order to address the major areas of discrimination faced day-to-day by people with disabilities.

42 U.S.C. § 12101(b)(1)(2) and (4).

10. The congressional legislation provided places of public accommodation one and a half years from the enactment of the ADA to implement the requirements imposed by the ADA. The effective date of Title III of the ADA was January 26, 1992, or January 26, 1993 if the defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less. 42 U.S.C. § 12181; 28 C.F.R. § 36.508(a).

11. The Facility is a public accommodation and service establishment.

12. Pursuant to the mandates of 42 U.S.C. § 12134(a), on July 26, 1991, the Department of Justice, Office of Attorney General, promulgated federal regulations to implement the requirements of the ADA. 29 C.F.R. Part 36. Public accommodations were required to conform to these regulations by January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993 if the defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). 42 U.S.C. § 12181, *et seq.*, and 28 C.F.R. § 36.508(a).

13. The Facility must be, but is not, in compliance with the ADA and ADAAG.

14. Plaintiff has attempted to and has, to the extent possible, accessed the Facility, but could not do so because of Plaintiff's disability due to the physical barriers to access, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at the Facility that preclude and/or limit Plaintiff's access to the Facility and/or the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein, including those barriers, conditions and ADA violations more specifically set forth in this Complaint.

15. Plaintiff intends to visit the Facility again in the near future in order to utilize all of the

goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations commonly offered at this Facility, but will be unable to do so because of Plaintiff's disability due to the physical barriers to access, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at the property that preclude and/or limit Plaintiff's access to the Facility and/or the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein, including those barriers, conditions and ADA violations more specifically set forth in this Complaint.

16. Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff and others with disabilities, by denying access to, and full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of the Facility, as prohibited by 42 U.S.C. § 12182, *et seq.*, and will continue to discriminate against Plaintiff and others with disabilities unless and until Defendants are compelled to remove all physical barriers that exist at the Facility, including those specifically set forth herein, and make the Facility accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities, including Plaintiff.

17. Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff by failing to comply with the above requirements. A specific, although not exclusive, list of unlawful physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations which preclude and/or limit Plaintiff's ability (because of Plaintiff's disability) to access the Facility and/or full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of the Facility, include:

- a. There is not an accessible route throughout the site and facility. 2010 Standards sections 206 and 402.
- b. There is not a properly designed and sloped ramp from the exterior into the Facility. 2010 Standards sections 208, 405 and 406.
- c. There are doors that are inaccessible. 2010 Standards sections 206.5 and 404.
- d. There is not an accessible ramp, wheelchair lift, elevator, or other means of access into the Facility.

- e. Counters where customer service and/or transactions occur are inaccessible. 2010 Standards sections 227 and 904.
 - f. The bar within the Facility is too high and there is not a lowered section for persons using a wheelchair.
 - g. There are steps within the Facility that are not accessible.
 - h. There are multiple levels without an accessible means of accessing the various levels, such as a wheelchair lift or elevator.
 - i. There are restrooms that are inaccessible. 2010 Standards sections 213 and 603.
 - j. The restrooms are not on an accessible route.
 - k. The restrooms lack sufficient clear floor space, maneuvering clearance and turning space. 2010 Standards sections 213 and 603.
 - l. The water closets are inaccessible. 2010 Standards sections 213, 603 and 604.
 - m. The water closet is in a stall that is too small and lacks clear floor space, maneuvering clearance and turning space.
 - n. The water closet lacks accessible grab bars.
 - o. The lavatories are inaccessible. 2010 Standards sections 213, 603 and 606.
 - p. The pipes underneath the lavatory are not insulated.
 - q. The dispensers within the restroom (e.g. soap and paper towels) are inaccessible.
18. The above listing is not to be considered all-inclusive of the barriers, conditions or violations encountered by Plaintiff and/or which exist at the Facility. Plaintiff requires an inspection of the Facility in order to determine all of the discriminatory acts violating the ADA.

19. Plaintiff has attempted to gain access to the Facility, but because of Plaintiff's disability has been denied access to, and has been denied the benefits of services, programs and activities of the Facility, and has otherwise been discriminated against and damaged by Defendants, because of the physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations set forth above, and expects to be discriminated against in the future by Defendants because of Plaintiff's disability, unless and until

Defendants are compelled to remove the unlawful barriers and conditions and comply with the ADA.

20. The removal of the physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations set forth herein is readily achievable and can be accomplished and carried out without much difficulty or expense. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv); 42 U.S.C. § 12181(9); 28 C.F.R. § 36.304.

21. Plaintiff is without adequate remedy at law and is suffering irreparable harm, and reasonably anticipates that he will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless and until Defendants are required to remove the physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at the Facility, including those set forth herein.

22. Plaintiff has been obligated to retain the undersigned counsel for the filing and prosecution of this action and has agreed to pay the undersigned counsel reasonable attorney's fees, costs and litigation expenses from Defendants pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 12205 and 12117.

23. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a), this Court is provided authority to grant injunctive relief to Plaintiff, including an order to alter the subject Facility to make it readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADAAG.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court issue a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants from continuing the discriminatory practices set forth herein, ordering Defendants to remove the physical barriers to access and alter the subject Facility to make it readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA, and awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees, litigation expenses and costs.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/Edward N. Garno, Esq.
Edward N. Garno, Esq.
147 Central Street, Suite 213
Lowell, Massachusetts 01852
Telephone: (978) 397-2400
Facsimile: (978) 455-1817
E-mail: nedgarno@hotmail.com
Massachusetts Bar No.: 564378
Lead Counsel for Plaintiff

By: /s/Todd W. Shulby, Esq.
Todd W. Shulby, Esq.
Todd W. Shulby, P.A.
1792 Bell Tower Lane
Weston, Florida 33326
Telephone: (954) 530-2236
Facsimile: (954) 530-6628
E-mail: tshulby@shulbylaw.com
Florida Bar No.: 068365
Counsel for Plaintiff